

**UNCLASSIFIED**

---

**AD 268 152**

*Reproduced  
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY  
ARLINGTON HALL STATION  
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



---

**UNCLASSIFIED**

---

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

CATALOGUED BY ASTIA  
AS AD NO. 268152

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Department of Statistics

Chapel Hill, N. C.

A NOTE ON CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS WHICH  
VANISH IDENTIFICALLY IN AN INTERVAL

by

Walter L. Smith

September, 1961

This research was supported by the Office of  
Naval Research under Contract No. Nonr-855(09)  
for research in probability and statistics at  
Chapel Hill. Reproduction in whole or in part  
is permitted for any purpose of the United States  
Government.

✓ Institute of Statistics  
Mineograph Series No. 306

29  
XEROX

62-1-5

A NOTE ON CHARACTERISTICS FUNCTIONS WHICH  
VANISH IDENTICALLY IN AN INTERVAL

by

Walter L. Smith

Some years ago, in connection with some unpublished work in the theory of queues, the question arose as to whether the characteristic function of a non-negative random variable could vanish identically in an interval. The purpose of this note is to show that such a thing is impossible.

There are, of course, characteristic functions which vanish identically in intervals. In fact the probability density function

$$f(x) = \frac{2 \sin^2(x/2)}{\pi x^2}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

is associated with the characteristic function

$$\phi(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 - |\theta| & , \quad |\theta| \leq 1, \\ 0 & , \quad |\theta| > 1, \end{cases}$$

which vanishes in semi-infinite intervals. This characteristic function, however, is associated with a distribution over both positive and negative values. It is not clear what can be achieved for distributions over positive values only, although the following easily proved theorem gives some indications.

Theorem 1. If  $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \dots$  is an increasing sequence of angles, and if  $k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots$  is a sequence of positive integers,  
and if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_n}{\theta_n} < \infty ,$$

then there is a non-negative random variable whose characteristic function vanishes at  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \dots$ , the zero at  $\theta_n$  being  $k_n$ -fold, for all  $n$ .

Proof. Let  $X_n$  be a random variable associated with the probability density function

$$f_n(x) = \frac{\theta_n}{2\pi} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2\pi}{\theta_n} ,$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Let  $X_n', X_n'', \dots, X_n^{(k_n)}$  be  $k_n$  independent random variables distributed like  $X_n$ , and write  $Z_n = X_n' + X_n'' + \dots + X_n^{(k_n)}$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \varphi_n(\theta) \equiv \mathbb{E} e^{i\theta Z_n} = e^{i\theta k_n \pi / \theta_n} \left\{ \frac{\sin^{k_n}(\pi \theta / \theta_n)}{(\pi \theta / \theta_n)^{k_n}} \right\} ,$$

and

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{E} Z_n = \frac{\pi k_n}{\theta_n} ,$$

$$(4) \quad \text{Var } Z_n = \frac{k_n \pi^2}{3 \theta_n^2} .$$

Furthermore, in view of (1), the random variables  $\{Z_n\}$  are uniformly bounded. Thus if  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, \dots$  are independent, the series

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Z_j$$

is almost certainly convergent, in virtue of the three series theorem and

(1), (3), and (4). The characteristic function of  $S$  is evidently

$$(5) \quad \phi(\theta) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(\theta) ,$$

where  $\psi_n(\theta)$  is given in (2). Since  $S$  is non-negative and  $\phi(\theta)$  obviously has a  $k_n$ -fold zero at  $\theta_n$ , for all  $n$ , the theorem is proved.

We do not for a moment suppose that Theorem 1 is the strongest possible result of its kind that can be proved. It merely provides, as we have said, some indication of the distribution of zeros which can occur. It would naturally be of interest to obtain critical results, but we have been unable to obtain such. Nevertheless the following theorem shows that the characteristic function of a non-negative random variable has some restriction on the location of its zeros.

Theorem 2. If  $\phi(\theta)$  is the characteristic function of a non-negative random variable then  $\phi(\theta)$  cannot vanish identically in an interval.

Proof:- Let  $F(x)$  be the distribution function of the non-negative random variable and write

$$(6) \quad \Psi(u + iv) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{i(u+iv)x} dF(x) ,$$

$$(7) \quad \phi(u + iv) = \frac{\Psi(u + iv)}{1 - i(u + iv)} .$$

Evidently  $\phi(u + iv)$  is regular for  $v > 0$  and, since  $|\Psi(u + iv)| \leq 1$  for  $v > 0$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(u + iv)|^2 du$$

exists and is bounded for every positive  $v$ .

Thus, for  $v > 0$ , by Titchmarsh (1948, p. 125), we have, for  $\text{Im } z > 0$ ,

$$(8) \quad \phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\phi(u)}{u - z} du ,$$

where, in the present situation,  $\phi(u)$  is the ordinary limit as  $v \rightarrow 0+$  of  $\phi(u + iv)$ . Thus

$$\phi(u) = \frac{\psi(u)}{1 - iu} = \frac{1}{1 - iu} \int_0^{\infty} e^{iux} dF(x) .$$

Now suppose the characteristic function  $\tilde{F}(u)$  vanishes identically in  $(a, b)$ ,  $a < b$ . Then (8) may be rewritten, for  $\text{Im } z > 0$ ,

$$(9) \quad \phi(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^a + \int_b^{+\infty} \frac{\phi(u)}{u - z} du .$$

The right side of (9) is a regular function of  $z$  in the upper half-plane. It is not hard to see that this regular function can be analytically continued, by a well-known expansion method, through the segment  $(a, b)$  on the real axis into the lower half-plane. Thus  $\phi(z)$  is regular in an open domain which contains a limit point of zeros. This implies that  $\phi(z)$  vanishes identically, and thus provides a contradiction. We may therefore conclude that  $\phi(u)$  cannot vanish identically in an interval.

We point out that an alternative proof of Theorem 2 can be deduced from a result of Bieberbach (1931, p. 156) concerning functions analytic in a circle.

## REFERENCES

BIERBERGACH, L., (1931). 'Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie' Band II, 2nd.

Ed. B. G. Teubner, Leipzig and Berlin.

TITCHMARSH, E. C., (1948). 'Theory of Fourier Integrals', 2nd. Ed.

Oxford University Press.